



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/554,984	05/23/2000	Daniel H. Maes	2870/287	1797

7590                    07/30/2002

KAREN A. LOWNEY, ESQ.  
ESTEE LAUDER COMPANIES  
125 PINELAWN ROAD  
MELVILLE, NY 11747

[REDACTED] EXAMINER

PRYOR, ALTON NATHANIEL

[REDACTED] ART UNIT      [REDACTED] PAPER NUMBER

1616

DATE MAILED: 07/30/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/554,984	Applicant(s) Maes et al
Examiner Alton Pryor	Art Unit 1616



-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

1)  Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_.

2a)  This action is FINAL.      2b)  This action is non-final.

3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

4)  Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above, claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5)  Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.

6)  Claim(s) 1-5, 7-9, 14, 16-18, 20, 21, 23, 24, 26, and 27 is/are rejected.

7)  Claim(s) 6, 10-13, 15, 19, 22, 25, and 28 is/are objected to.

8)  Claims \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10)  The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

11)  The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: a)  approved b)  disapproved by the Examiner.  
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.

12)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

13)  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a)  All b)  Some\* c)  None of:  
1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

14)  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).  
a)  The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.

15)  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

### Attachment(s)

1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)      4)  Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_

2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)      5)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_      6)  Other: \_\_\_\_\_

Art Unit: 1616

Applicant's arguments filed 4/15/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

I. Rejection of claims 1-5,7-9,14,16-18,20,21,23,24,26 and 27 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) over DasGupta and Dijkstra will be maintained for reasons of record and reasons as follows.

Applicant argues that it would not have been obvious to combine DasGupta and Dijkstra. Applicant argues that unexpected data is provided in instant specification for instant composition comprising betulinic acid and vitamin D analogs.

1. Examiner argues that it would have been obvious for an artisan to combine DasGupta and Dijkstra because both prior art references individually teach topical compositions for treating melanoma. Examiner request that applicant directs examiner to the location of unexpected data in specification for the composition comprising betulinic acid and vitamin D analogs.

II. Applicant argues that instant method claims are allowable, because methods drawn to treating dry skin, reducing skin's response to irritants or sensitizes with instant compositions are not suggested by the prior art.

Examiner argues that applicant's active step comprises applying applicants composition to skin which is also the active step of the prior art invention.

III. Claim Objection

Objection to claims 6,10-13,15,19,22, and 25 will be maintained for reasons on record.

Claim 28 is added to this objection. It is obvious that claim 28 should have been objected to since claim 15 from which claim 28 depends is also objected to. Claim 28 is added to this objection.

Art Unit: 1616

***Telephonic Inquiry***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alton Pryor whose telephone number is (703) 308-4691. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jose Dees, can be reached on (703) 308-4628. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.



Alton Pryor

Primary Examiner, AU 1616

6/15/02